OUTLINE



- GREYC E-payment & Biometrics
- Electronic transactions
- General definitions on biometrics
- Mobile biometric authentication
- Protection of biometric data
- Perspectives



Mobile biometric authentication



Two types of device:

- □ Specific ones with a biometric sensor
- Classic ones

43

Two locations for the biometric authentication:







Match and capture on device

Fingerprint sensor



http://www.authentec.com/



Specific solutions: user



Match and capture on contactless card solution



Specific solutions: terminal



Match and capture on device solution



http://www.taztag.com/

Specific solutions: terminal



Capture on device solution



http://ekemp.en.alibaba.com



47 http://www.naturalsecurity.com/



http://www.acs.com.hk/



http://www.supremainc.com/

Specific solutions



Discussion:

□ There are many solutions especially for terminals

Nearly all of them use fingerprint as biometric modality

- \checkmark well known and cheap technology
- \checkmark fast capture and verification
- ✓ very good performance





48

Other solutions



Solutions without any specific sensor:

- □ Smartcard:
 - \checkmark storage of the biometric template
 - \checkmark match on card
- □ Smart object (mobile phone, tablet, laptop...)
 - ✓ webcam:
 - Face recognition
 - Hand shape
 - Finger knuckle print
 - Ear...



Finger Knuckle Print









Other solutions



- □ Smart object (mobile phone, tablet, laptop...)
 - \checkmark microphone :
 - speaker recognition: text-dependent or free-text
 - ✓ keyboard :
 - keystroke dynamics: passphrase, password or challenge
 - \checkmark touch screen :
 - Interaction: passphrase, password, challenge, task
 - signature dynamics



Other solutions



Discussion:

- □ There are many possible solutions
- □ The most interesting candidates are:
 - ✓ voice
 - \checkmark touch screen interaction
 - ✓ signature dynamics
 - ✓ face
 - \checkmark hand shape



OUTLINE



- GREYC E-payment & Biometrics
- Electronic transactions
- General definitions on biometrics
- Mobile biometric authentication
- Protection of biometric data
- Perspectives





Why is it necessary ?

- Personal data
- Difficult to revoke a biometric data
- Can be captured without any consent
- □ Its encryption is not sufficient







Attacks on a biometric system:









Attacks on a biometric system:



http://www.thatsmyface.com/





Security index of a biometric systems





Security index of a biometric systems

http://www.epaymentbiometrics.ensicaen.fr/securityEvaBio/

EvaBio Evaluation Platform		EvaBio Evaluation Platform	
Home Manual About Us Contact Reserved			
		Keystroke Modality Assessment	Latest News
EvaBio: on-line evaluation platform of biometric authentication systems	Latest News		Upcoming Conferences
EvaBio is a web-based automated evaluation platform towards the security evaluation of biometric authentication systems. The presented platform implements a quantitative-based assessment method based on a database of common threats and vulnerabilities of biometric systems, and the notion of risk factors.	Upcoming Conferences Biometrics Research Group	Point 1: Sensor Assessment	
The aim of the platform is twofold. First, it allows the biometric researchers to easily evaluate their developed systems using the presented security assessment method. Second, it aims to enhance the presented database of common threats and vulnerabilities of biometric systems based on researchers feedbacks.			
		1 - How would you rate the difficulty of exploiting residual data from your system capture sensor? 3 2 - How would you rate the sensor protection against physical tampering? (e.g., a system implemented in a public place is more vulnerable then a one implemented in a protected place) 1	
		Points 2 and 4: Transmission Channels Assessment	
Connexion Login Login Password			
Login		3 - How would you rate the efficiency of your system in detecting replayed data to the feature extractor and the matcher components (emph(e.g.), a system implementing an authentication fest between system components would be more effective against such kind of attacks)	•
		4 - How would you rate the physical protection of your system communication links against tampering (such as cutting links)?	•
COPYRIGHT © 2011		5 - How would you rate the robustness of your system in preventing information alteration from a communication channel (emp/leg), a system implementing infegrity test between system components would be more effective against such kind of attacks)?	•

Solutions:

- Secure architectures: store the biometric data in a secure element, avoid its transmission, match on card...
- □ Algorithmic solutions: transform the biometric data (cancelable biometrics), crypto-biometrics (fuzzy vault)..
- Combinations of the two previous solutions





Authentication / Reference stored in a secure element:











Authentication / Shared reference:





Authentication / Local processing/ Reference stored in a SE:





63



Authentication / Match on card:



Authentication / Sensor and match on Card:





GREYC



Algorithmic solutions:

66



Source: Jain, Nandakumar and Nagar, "Biometric Template security", EURASIP J. on Advances in Signal Processing, 2008

Cancelable biometrics: make the biometric template revocable



N. Ratha, J. Connelle, and R. Bolle, "Enhancing security and privacy in biometrics-based authentication system," IBM Systems J., vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 2245–2255, 2001.



67



Biohashing process:





$$R_z = \mathbb{1}_{\{D_T(f(b_z, K_z), f(\dot{b_z}, K_z)) \leq \epsilon_T\}}$$

Where :

- R_z : decision result for the verification of user z using the cancelable system,
- D_T : distance function in the transformed domain,
- f : the feature transformation function,
- b_z , $\dot{b_z}$ represent the template and query biometric features of user z,
- K_z : set of transformation parameters,
- ϵ_T : decision threshold.



(1)



Properties:

- Given the BioCode, the biometric raw data cannot be retrieved,
- □ Only the BioCode is stored,
- If the BioCode is intercepted, a new one can be generated,
- An individual can have many BioCodes for different applications,
- □ The BioHashing process improves performances,
- □ The comparison of two BioCodes is very fast (simple Hamming distance)



GREYC

Biocod

0.9

Performance evaluation:



	128 bits	256 bits	512 bits
FingerCode	19%	18%	17%
BioCode	0%	0%	0%

EER values for different sizes of the FingerCode and BioCode



DEMO

Greyc Biocode			
Data	base	Fingerprint Capture	Biocode
Use	ers		Normal Barcode Short Barcode Very Short Barcode
User christophe	name		FDF5BED618513EFA3B9E64D7C9446E8C 73,33 % FF6DBCD7A5E27EF8ABDA61F7C1643E99
Username Secret	christophe azerty	Secret azerty	
	Enroll	Verify	GREYC





Study of the robustness of the solution

Security properties

73

- **Performance** : the template protection shall not deteriorate the performance of the original biometric system,
- Revocability or renewability : it shoud be possible to revoke a biometric template.
- Non-invertibility or irreversibility : from the transformed data, it should not be possible to obtain enough information on the original biometric data to forge a fake biometric template,
- **Diversity or unlinkability** : it should be possible to generate different biocodes for multiple applications, and no information should be deduced from their different realizations.

R. Belguechi, E. Cherrier, C. Rosenberger, "How to Evaluate Transformation Based Cancelable Biometric Systems?", NIST International Biometric Performance Testing Conference 2012.



Study of the robustness of the solution

Probability of a sucessful attack by an impostor

$$FAR_{A}(\epsilon_{T}) = P(D_{T}(f(b_{z}, K_{z}), A_{z}) \le \epsilon_{T})$$
(3)

Where :

- $FAR_A(\epsilon_T)$: probability of a successful attack by the impostor for the threshold ϵ_T .
- A_z : generated biocode by the impostor with different methods,
- We can consider $\epsilon_T = \epsilon_{EER_T}$ (ϵ_{EER_T} : threshold to have the EER functionning point of the cancelable biometric system).





Study of the robustness of the solution

A priori information used by the impostor

• Zero effort attack (A₂) :

An impostor provides one of its biometric sample to be authenticated as the user $z : A_z = f(\dot{b_x}, K_x)$,

Brute force attack :

An impostor tries to be authenticated by trying different random values of $A : A_z = A$,

• Stolen token attack :

An impostor has obtained the token K_z of the genuine user z and tries different random values of b to generate : $A_z = f(b, K_z)$,

• Stolen biometric data attack : An impostor knows $\dot{b_z}$ and tries different random numbers K to generate : $A_z = f(\dot{b_z}, K)$.





Study of the robustness of the solution

Listening attacks

For each template of the genuine user :

- Generation of Q biocodes $B_z = \{f(b_z, K_z^1), .., f(b_z, K_z^Q)\}$ for user z,
- Prediction of a possible biocode value by setting the most probable value of each bit given B_z ,
- Computation of equation (2). $\Rightarrow A_7$ value for Q = 3 and A_8 for Q = 11



Attacking on fingerprints



Analysis on fingerprints (FVC 2002)

 R. Belguechi, E. Cherrier, C. Rosenberger, "Texture based Fingerprint BioHashing : Attacks and Robustness", IEEE/IAPR International Conference on Biometrics (ICB), p.7, 2012





Attacking on finger knuckle prints



Analysis on finger knuckle prints (POLY FKP)

R. Belguechi, E. Cherrier, M. El Abed and C. Rosenberger, "Evaluation of Cancelable Biometric Systems : Application to Finger-Knuckle-Prints", IEEE International Conference on Hand-based Biometrics, 2011

78





New attack

Is it possible to determine the biometric feature knowing the secret key and the BioCode ?

What to do ?

To generate other BioCodes (after revokation)

It is a useful attack if the BioCode and the secret key are stored on an unsecure location (centralized database as for example)







New attack

Task: determine bz knowing f(bz,Kz) and Kz Use a genetic algorithm Solution: random value bz' Minimize DT(f(bz,Kz),f(bz',Kz)) It works !



OUTLINE



- GREYC E-payment & Biometrics
- Electronic transactions
- General definitions on biometrics
- Mobile biometric authentication
- Protection of biometric data
- Perspectives







Biometric authentication is necessary

- To make a real user authentication
- In order to guarantee the security of a mobile (contactless) transaction
- □ Many candidates biometric modalities
- Using secure elements to store and processing the data
- Many robust algorithmic solutions to enhance the privacy of users exist







Perspectives



Many trends have to be considered

- Centralized or decentralized storage of biometric data (example of UID in India)
- □ Is one biometric data enough ?
- □ Will it be possible to use biometric data enrolled by governments ?
- □ How to avoid the replay attack ?
- Is there any other biometric modalities that could be used (tongue...)
- Are services ready to use an authentication that "could" be good ?







New biometric modalities

Can we be recognized based on what we think ?

Very soon...









http://www.epaymentbiometrics.ensicaen.fr/

